Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,387	KATO ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin R Kruer	1773	

All Participants:

(1) *Kevin R Kruer*.

(2) *Michael Didas*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *1 April 2005*

Time: *4:00p.m.*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
"Sumitomo Rubber" in view of Hattori

Claims discussed:
claims 1, 4, and 5

Prior art documents discussed:
"Sumitomo Rubber" and Hattori

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to the examiner's amendments detailed in the attached Office Action

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

K R K
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)